Beddington and Wallington
Locality Needs Profile
What is a Locality Needs Profile?

• Analysis of key local data
• Identifies local area characteristics
• Supports you in making decisions
• It includes data on...

- Demographics
- Labour Market & Economy
- Health & Wellbeing
- Education
- Housing
- Transport
- Community Safety
- Resident Satisfaction
- Deprivation
Satisfaction with the area

94% of residents are satisfied with their local area. This is slightly higher than the borough average of 91%.

43% of residents agree that they can influence council run-services. This is in line with the borough average of 44%.
30% of residents said activities for teenagers need improving – Beddington South has highest proportion of under 20s.
Housing

Housing is mixed...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beddington North</td>
<td>High home ownership, detached and semi-detached houses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beddington South</td>
<td>High social rented properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallington North</td>
<td>Mix of housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallington South</td>
<td>High number of flats, private renting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 areas are among the 30% of areas in the Country with the highest levels of need....

But other areas have relatively low needs.
Crime and fear of crime...

After dark... 30% of residents feel unsafe compared to 23% average for Sutton

- Criminal Damage: 231 (2012/13) vs. 279 (2013/14)
- Theft From a Motor Vehicle: 408 (2012/13) vs. 425 (2013/14)
- Burglary in a Dwelling: 224 (2012/13) vs. 267 (2013/14)
- Robbery: 84 (2012/13) vs. 66 (2013/14)
- Violence Against the Person: 572 (2012/13) vs. 567 (2013/14)
Health and Fitness

- 2011 Census showed higher levels of disability than Sutton average:
  - 15% had day-to-day activities limited by disability/old age, 7% had activities limited a lot
- Higher proportion of people claiming Disability Living Allowance than borough average.

61% of residents exercise at least twice a week
What the data are telling us...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee area is mixed</th>
<th>• The area contains a wide range of households and need. Important to ensure that activity is targeted correctly.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Influence over council decisions is low</td>
<td>• Less than half of people said that they had influence over decisions. How can we encourage greater participation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Things to improve</td>
<td>• The resident’s survey suggested areas for improvement. Are they the right ones? What would make the most impact?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear of crime is relatively high</td>
<td>• Fear of crime (particularly burglary) is higher than in other areas. What can be done to combat that?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Fitness</td>
<td>• What could be done to improve health and fitness in the area?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Things to consider…

• What more do we need to understand to make decisions locally?
• What are the implications?

Next steps…

• To work with:
  o Ward Councillors,
  o Community Representatives and
  o Other Stakeholders
• To understand the implications

• Further report to committee
Questions?