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What is a Locality Needs Profile?

- Analysis of key local data
- Identifies local area characteristics
- Supports you in making decisions
- It includes data on...

- Demographics
- Education
- Housing
- Labour Market & Economy
- Transport
- Resident Satisfaction
- Health & Wellbeing
- Community Safety
- Deprivation
Satisfaction with the area

96% of residents are satisfied with their local area. This is higher than the borough average of 91%.

43% of residents agree that they can influence council run-services. This is in line with the borough average of 44%.
Social cohesion

Significantly more people thought that the area was cohesive than the borough average of 89%.

The area is less ethnically diverse than Sutton as a whole: 85% were White in the 2011 Census.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>94%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Transport

Transport is a significant issue for people in the area

- Half of employed people travel to work by car and a quarter by train
- 83% of households own at least one car or van
- 46% of people felt that parking was a problem in the area and 51% felt that public transport was important
Over a third of people said that road repairs and traffic congestion needed to improve.
Housing is mostly owned and there is a larger proportion of detached and semi-detached properties than the borough average.
Less than half of residents take part in exercise at least twice a week.

Only a third of the area described themselves as fit or very fit.
What the data are telling us...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>• The area is relatively affluent compared to other areas of Sutton: there are no significantly deprived areas and there are high levels of home ownership.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influence over council decisions is low</td>
<td>• Less than half of people said that they had influence over decisions. How can we encourage greater participation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>• Transport is a significant issue for residents. What can be done to improve it?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Things to improve</td>
<td>• The resident’s survey suggested areas for improvement. Are they the right ones? What would make the most impact?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Fitness</td>
<td>• What could be done to improve health and fitness in the area?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Things to consider...

• What more do we need to understand to make decisions locally?
• What are the implications?

Next steps...

• To work with:
  o Ward Councillors,
  o Community Representatives and
  o Other Stakeholders
• To understand the implications

• Further report to committee
Questions?